T cell development in the thymus: From periodic seeding to constant output
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Abstract

T cell development occurs in the thymus throughout life. Recent experimental findings show that the seeding of the thymus by multipotent stem cells from the bone marrow is periodic rather than continuous, as previously assumed. However it is well known that the output rate of cells from the thymus is relatively constant. A quantitative model is used to verify the current hypotheses regarding T cell development in the steady state mouse thymus. The results show that the thymus could be at a periodic steady state with out-of-phase thymocyte populations. Experiments to examine possible periodic fluctuations in the thymus are proposed and methods for further analysis are outlined.
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1. Introduction

T cell development begins with multipotent precursor stem cells being periodically released from the bone marrow and migrating into the thymus, where they undergo proliferation and differentiation before migrating out of the thymus as mature T cells. The murine thymus is made up of two lobes, each of which is compartmentalised into an outer cortex and inner medulla region. Development of T cells coincides with the tightly regulated movement of defined intermediate populations between these two major compartments (Witt and Robey, 2005). Fig. 1 is a photograph of an adult murine thymus with one such cortex and medulla region labelled.

The developmental program of T cells is summarised schematically in Fig. 2. Precursors to the T cell lineage are released periodically from the bone marrow, enter the bloodstream, and find their way to the thymus. Precursors enter at the cortico-medullary junction (CMJ) and fill up to be receptive to precursor cell seeding at periodic intervals, with the seeding cycle remaining relatively constant throughout life (Donskoy et al., 2003; Foss et al., 2001; Goldschneider, 2006). Cells at this developmental stage are not yet committed to becoming cells of the T lineage, but retain multi-potentiality. As they lack expression of either the CD4 or CD8 surface molecule, they are referred to as double negative (DN) cells. The DN population consists of four early T cell sub-sets, DN1–DN4.

Following their arrival at the CMJ, DN1 cells undergo numerous rounds of cell division (Penit et al., 1995; Vasseur et al., 2001). As cell division ceases, this population migrates away from the CMJ and into the mid-cortex where they progress to the DN2 stage and become developmentally committed to the T cell lineage. Cells continue to migrate outwards until they arrive at the outer region of the cortex known as the sub-capsular zone (SCZ) (Lind et al., 2001). Approximately one third of these cells successfully pass through a critical developmental checkpoint (DN3 stage) leading to robust cell division (DN4 stage) (Penit et al., 1995). As this second burst of proliferative activity subsides, the cells begin to express...
both the CD4 and CD8 co-receptors and are now known as double positive (DP) cells. DP cells withdraw from the cell division cycle and lose their proliferative ability (Petrie and Zúñiga-Pflücker, 2007). The cortex is dominated in number by DP cells. Through contact with the stromal cells in the cortex, a small percentage of DP cells pass successfully through a second critical checkpoint known as positive selection. These cells receive survival signals through the selection process that allow them to mature further to the final stage of development, the single positive (SP) stage. In addition, the nature of the signal determines the lineage fate of the developing thymocyte; depending on the interactions, expression of either the CD4 or the CD8 surface molecule shuts off leaving the cell fated to mature into one of two T cell sub-populations, cytotoxic CD8+ or helper CD4+ cells. The vast majority of DP cells do not become selected, and therefore, receive no such survival signals. These cells eventually die in the cortex in 3–5 days time.

In contrast to all other sub-populations of developing T cells, positively selected DP thymocytes have access to the medulla. Experimental evidence shows that post-selected cells exhibit biased movement away from the thymic capsule and may be chemotactically attracted to the medulla (Witt et al., 2005a). Upon arrival in the medulla, SP cells interact with antigen presenting cells (APC) for their final test. APC present fragments of proteins...
produced by various host cells and tissues. These self-peptides are presented to the SP cell in the context of major histo-compatibility complexes (MHC) expressed on the surface of the APC. Thymocytes that react strongly to self-peptide MHC are deleted through a process known as negative selection. This is an important process which removes T cells that are likely to cause autoimmune reactions if they are released into the body. Mature T cells then emigrate from the thymus to the periphery where they are now fully equipped to execute their fated function.

We present a model of T cell development in the steady state murine thymus. During this time period, which commences at approximately 3–4 weeks of age, thymic maturation is complete and the thymus remains at a relatively fixed size for approximately 100 days and is producing T cells at a constant rate. After this time the onset of puberty causes the thymus to shrink gradually, a process termed involution. Shortman and co-workers, (Egerton et al., 1990; Shortman et al., 1990, 1991), measured the kinetics of thymocyte development from the double negative (DN) stage to the single positive (SP) stage using irradiated thymii reconstituted with donor cells. These experiments show that the differentiation process is sequential and has large fluctuations in cell number over the 26 days of experiment. Notably the number of thymocytes drops from $10^7$ to $10^5$ over 3 days during the transition between DP and SP stage. This demonstrates that only a small percentage of DP cells successfully receive positive selection signals. This size of fluctuation is normally unseen in the steady state thymus and is explained by the classical view that seeding of the thymus is continuous. However, recent experimental evidence using adoptive transfer of murine bone marrow into recipients by Foss et al. (2001) showed that progenitor cells seed the thymus in periodic waves of approximately 4 weeks, with one week of thymic receptivity separated by 3 weeks of refractivity. This seeding process is reviewed by Goldschneider (2006). These experiments were advantageous as they were performed in non-irradiated mice. In the time scale of the steady state thymus, the receptive seeding length (1 week) should be considered discrete. Thus, it is of interest to re-evaluate the steady state thymus with a quantitative description of the fluctuations in the thymocyte subpopulations and also to explain why T cell production appears constant.

Porritt et al. (2003) found that DN1 cells remain at the CMJ undifferentiated for 9–11 days after seeding and a sizeable portion remain at the CMJ for more than 2 weeks. Porritt et al. (2003) proposed that asynchronous release from the DN1 compartment can subsequently maintain later stage populations at constant levels. One interpretation of this claim is that control mechanisms operate independently of DN1 cells to release constant cell numbers in time. This appears unlikely as the percentage of donor DN1 population displays an exponential decay from 9 days post-transplant, which is more consistent with kinetics of constant rate (percentage) rather than constant cell number in time (Porritt et al., 2003; Fig. 1). Goldschneider (2006) also remarked in relation to data provided by Porritt et al. (2003) that by day 17 post-transplant the DN1 population would be completely depleted. An alternative synchronous development model, favored by Foss et al. (2001) and Goldschneider (2006) is that each wave of thymopoiesis occurs over two seeding periods and this temporal overlapping produces the appearance of relatively constant population composition of the thymus. The question of how T cell production appears constant can be best resolved using quantitative techniques rather than schematic arguments.

Mehr et al. (1995) first developed a model of thymocyte differentiation in the thymus using a system of nonlinear ordinary differential equations for the subsets DN, DP, and for both CD4 SP and CD8 SP. The growth and differentiation rates were found by searching the parameter space to produce the steady state composition of the thymus. Modified versions of this model have been used for investigating feedback regulation of development (Mehr et al., 1996) and for T cell development in humans (Ye and Kirschner, 2002). An extension of this model incorporating time delays during differentiation is provided in Shi and Ma (2006). The authors showed the equilibrium values are globally asymptotically stable for any time delay. Further analysis into the relationship between the time delays, the model parameter values, and the equilibrium values would be valuable to biologists. Wang and Krueger (2004) and Wang (2007) proposed an age-structured model and prescribed methods to find the age dependent growth and differentiation fields of thymocytes in both the steady and pathological state of the thymus. Computer simulations of the entire thymus were carried out by Efroni et al. (2007). The models aforementioned did not take into account the periodic seeding of the thymus and assumed that the steady state thymus has a fixed composition of thymocyte populations. In this study, we take a discrete compartment approach, as in Mehr et al. (1995), to test the synchronous development hypothesis of Goldschneider and co-workers (Donskoy et al., 2003; Foss et al., 2001; Goldschneider, 2006). Based on our findings, we propose experiments that can examine the possible periodic oscillations in the steady state thymus.

2. The mathematical model

Following the completion of thymic maturation at 3–4 weeks of age, the thymus remains relatively constant in size, with a constant number of cells, until the onset of puberty. Here we present a model of thymocyte population kinetics of the steady state thymus.

The earliest progenitors, DN1 cells, remain undifferentiated at the CMJ for approximately 10 days where they undergo robust expansion. Following this proliferative burst, cells begin to move away from the CMJ where they differentiate through the DN2 and DN3 stages. Cell division at these developmental stages is negligible (Penit
et al., 1995). The total population of cells in the DN2 and DN3 stages is denoted by \( N_0(t) \). We model the DN1 cells as the source term of \( N_0 \). A fraction of these cells then receive pre-TCR signalling and differentiate to the DN4 stage (also known as PreDP), modelled as \( N_i(t) \). This population then undergoes cycling to produce the most numerically dominant population, the DP cells, modelled as \( N_2(t) \). Finally, we let \( N_3(t) \) be the population of SP cells, the most mature population. The units for \( N_0, N_1, N_2, \) and \( N_3 \), are cell number per thymus.

We assume linear kinetics and consider a general model,

\[
\frac{dN_0}{dt} = \frac{Q}{N_0} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} S(t - nT) - x_{0,1} N_0 - \lambda_0 N_0, \tag{1}
\]

\[
\frac{dN_1}{dt} = x_{0,1} N_0 + \beta_1 N_1 - x_{1,2} N_1, \tag{2}
\]

\[
\frac{dN_2}{dt} = x_{1,2} N_1 - x_{2,3} N_2 - \lambda_2 N_2, \tag{3}
\]

\[
\frac{dN_3}{dt} = x_{2,3} N_2 - \kappa_3 N_3, \tag{4}
\]

The developmental flow of the populations, as represented by the model equations (1)–(4), is illustrated in Fig. 2, where the actual thymocyte populations being modelled and the major developmental events are written in bold font. In Eq. (1), \( T \) is the seeding period, \( Q \) is the number of cells differentiating to \( N_0 \) per seeding period and \( S(t) \) is a probability distribution such that

\[
\int_0^T S(t) \, dt = 1. \tag{5}
\]

The exact distribution of \( S(t) \) has not been experimentally determined. We assume a normal distribution for \( S(t) \) as an appropriate, simple choice. Most of a normal distribution is contained within six standard deviations. Thus, a criterion that \( T > 6\sigma \), where \( \sigma \) is one standard deviation, would allow the overlaps between seedings to be negligible.

Maturation or differentiation rates between populations are denoted by \( x_{ij} \) with the subscripts representing differentiation from \( i \) to \( j \). Due to the combinatorial nature of gene arrangement, approximately one in three \( N_0 \) cells form a functional pre-TCR (T cell receptor) to receive signalling. We use a death/removal term \( \lambda_0 \) such that \( \lambda_0 = 2x_{0,1} \) to take into account this ratio. The only modelled population with significant proliferative ability is \( N_1 \) and its net rate of increase due to division and death/removal is denoted by \( \beta_1 \). It is assumed that \( \beta_1 < x_{1,2} \), otherwise the \( N_1 \) population would grow without bound. The majority of \( N_2 \) do not survive, primarily because they fail to pass positive selection, but also possibly due to some negative selection. This removal rate is collectively modelled by \( \lambda_2 \). The death/removal rate of \( N_3, \kappa_3 \), includes negative selection and emigration from the thymus.

We are interested in the asymptotic behaviour of the model which corresponds to the steady state thymus. The model is solved with initial conditions

\[
N_i(0) = 0, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, 3, \tag{6}
\]

until it reaches steady state. We scale the densities by their respective mean steady state densities \( \bar{N}_0, \bar{N}_1, \bar{N}_2, \) and \( \bar{N}_3 \) and the time by the period \( T \). We also introduce the scaled inflow rates of each population denoted by \( Q_i \) and the scaled outflow rates \( Q_i' \).

\[
N_0 = \bar{N}_0 \bar{N}_0, \quad N_1 = \bar{N}_1 \bar{N}_1, \quad N_2 = \bar{N}_2 \bar{N}_2, \quad N_3 = \bar{N}_3 \bar{N}_3, \tag{7}
\]

\[
t = \bar{T}t, \quad \sigma = \bar{T} \sigma, \tag{8}
\]

\[
Q_0 = \frac{Q}{\bar{N}_0}, \quad Q'_0 = T(x_{0,1} + \bar{\lambda}_0), \tag{9}
\]

\[
Q_1 = \frac{T x_{0,1} \bar{N}_0}{\bar{N}_1}, \quad Q'_1 = T(x_{1,2} - \beta_1), \tag{10}
\]

\[
Q_2 = \frac{T x_{1,2} \bar{N}_1}{\bar{N}_2}, \quad Q'_2 = T(x_{2,3} + \lambda_2), \tag{11}
\]

\[
Q_3 = \frac{T x_{2,3} \bar{N}_2}{\bar{N}_3}, \quad Q'_3 = T \kappa_3. \tag{12}
\]

We consider the scaled source function defined as

\[
\bar{S}(\bar{t}) = T S(t). \tag{13}
\]

The bar notation is dropped from here on for brevity.

The general properties of the model are analysed by replacing the source function \( S(t) \) with a one-sided delta function \( \delta_+(t) \), which is governed by

\[
\int_{0^+}^{\infty} \delta_+(t) \, dt = 1. \tag{14}
\]

Hence we consider the Green functions of the model denoted by \( G_0, G_1, G_2, G_3 \). The relationship between the general solution and the Green functions is

\[
N_i(t) = \int_0^t G_i(t - t') S(t') \, dt', \quad i = 0, 1, 2, 3. \tag{15}
\]

The equations governing the Green functions are

\[
\frac{dG_0}{dt} = Q_0 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \delta_+(t - n) - Q'_0 G_0, \tag{16}
\]

\[
\frac{dG_1}{dt} = Q_1 G_0 - Q'_1 G_1, \tag{17}
\]

\[
\frac{dG_2}{dt} = Q_2 G_1 - Q'_2 G_2, \tag{18}
\]

\[
\frac{dG_3}{dt} = Q_3 G_2 - Q'_3 G_3. \tag{19}
\]

The solutions to the system Eqs. (16)–(19) for the general case where the scaled outflow rates of each population are
unequal (that is \( Q_0 \neq Q_1, Q_0 \neq Q_2 \), etc.) are

\[
G_0 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H(t-n)Q_0 e^{-Q_0(t-n)},
\]

\[
G_1 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H(t-n) \frac{Q_0 Q_1}{Q_0 - Q_1} (e^{-Q_1(t-n)} - e^{-Q_0(t-n)}),
\]

\[
G_2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H(t-n) \frac{Q_0 Q_1 Q_2}{Q_0 - Q_1} \left( \frac{1}{Q_1 - Q_2} [e^{-Q_2(t-n)} - e^{-Q_1(t-n)}] \right),
\]

\[
G_3 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H(t-n) \frac{Q_0 Q_1 Q_2 Q_3}{Q_1 - Q_2} \left( \frac{1}{Q_2 - Q_3} [e^{-Q_3(t-n)} - e^{-Q_2(t-n)}] \right)
\]

where \( H(t) \) is the Heaviside step function. The solutions Eqs. (20)–(24) are linear combinations of decaying exponentials with Heaviside functions and are discontinuous at \( t = n \). The exponents are related to the outflow rates of each population. We discuss the solutions to the special cases where the outflow rates are equal in Appendix A. We also show that the general case solutions reduce to the special case solutions in the appropriate limit in Appendix A.

Donskoy and Goldschneider (1992) have established that T cell development in the thymus requires input from blood borne precursors throughout postnatal life. This is reflected in the model and the relationships between the parameter values. All of the thymocyte populations are non self-sustaining. That is, any population would decay over time, given that the previous generating population is absent. In the T cell development models of Mehr et al. (1995, 1996), the ranges of parameter values presented could lead to some thymocyte populations being self-sustaining.

Our solutions contrast with Mehr et al. (1995) where logistic growth is used with a carrying capacity placed on all cell populations except DN. Logistic growth is based on the assumption that there is density limiting competition between thymocytes. However, the carrying capacity of the thymus is highly dependent on the stromal cells present as well as thymocytes. Indeed, the development of stromal cells and T cells is intimately linked (Gray et al., 2005; Shortman et al., 1998). Further theoretical studies of this developmental interplay would be valuable. This is beyond the scope of this article. Here we assume that cell populations are well below carrying capacity, hence linear kinetics are appropriate.

The asymptotic behaviour of the solutions is periodic, as we demonstrate below. Consider the function \( f(t) \) which is representative of the solutions and let \( N = \lfloor t \rfloor \), the largest integer less than or equal to \( t \). Then a representative part of each solution in Eqs. (20)–(24) can be written as

\[
f(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H(t-n) \exp(-q(t-n))
\]

\[
= \exp(-qt) \sum_{n=0}^{N} \exp(nq)
\]

\[
= 1 - \exp(-q(N+1)) \frac{\exp(q) - 1}{\exp(q) - 1} \exp(q(1 - (t - \lfloor t \rfloor))).
\]

Note that \( f(t) \) has discontinuities at integer values of \( t \).

The asymptotic behaviour of \( f(t) \) for \( t \to \infty \) and hence \( N \to \infty \) is

\[
f(t) \sim \frac{1}{1 - \exp(-q)} \exp(-q(t - \lfloor t \rfloor)).
\]

This is periodic because \( t - \lfloor t \rfloor \) is periodic. Also \( 0 \leq t - \lfloor t \rfloor \leq 1 \). The solutions Eqs. (20)–(24) are simply linear combinations of \( f(t) \) with different exponents, hence the asymptotic behaviour of the solutions is periodic with a scaled periodicity of unity. The asymptotic solutions written out explicitly are

\[
G_0 \sim \frac{Q_0}{1 - e^{-Q_0}} e^{-Q_0(t-\lfloor t \rfloor)},
\]

\[
G_1 \sim \frac{Q_0 Q_1}{Q_0 - Q_1} \left( \frac{e^{-Q_1(t-\lfloor t \rfloor)}}{1 - e^{-Q_1}} - \frac{e^{-Q_0(t-\lfloor t \rfloor)}}{1 - e^{-Q_0}} \right),
\]

\[
G_2 \sim \frac{Q_0 Q_1 Q_2}{Q_0 - Q_1} \left( \frac{1}{Q_1 - Q_2} \left( \frac{e^{-Q_2(t-\lfloor t \rfloor)}}{1 - e^{-Q_2}} - \frac{e^{-Q_1(t-\lfloor t \rfloor)}}{1 - e^{-Q_1}} \right) \right)
\]

\[
- \frac{1}{Q_0 - Q_2} \left( \frac{e^{-Q_2(t-\lfloor t \rfloor)}}{1 - e^{-Q_2}} - \frac{e^{-Q_0(t-\lfloor t \rfloor)}}{1 - e^{-Q_0}} \right),
\]

\[
G_3 \sim \frac{Q_0 Q_1 Q_2 Q_3}{Q_1 - Q_2} \left( \frac{1}{Q_2 - Q_3} \left( \frac{e^{-Q_3(t-\lfloor t \rfloor)}}{1 - e^{-Q_3}} - \frac{e^{-Q_2(t-\lfloor t \rfloor)}}{1 - e^{-Q_2}} \right) \right)
\]

\[
- \frac{Q_0 - Q_2}{Q_0 - Q_1} \left( \frac{e^{-Q_2(t-\lfloor t \rfloor)}}{1 - e^{-Q_2}} - \frac{e^{-Q_0(t-\lfloor t \rfloor)}}{1 - e^{-Q_0}} \right) \right)
\]

\[
+ \frac{Q_1 - Q_2}{Q_1 - Q_0} \left( \frac{e^{-Q_2(t-\lfloor t \rfloor)}}{1 - e^{-Q_2}} - \frac{e^{-Q_1(t-\lfloor t \rfloor)}}{1 - e^{-Q_1}} \right) \right).
\]

The discussion of the periodic behaviour for solutions of the special cases (where \( Q_0 = Q_1, Q_0 = Q_2, \) etc.) is presented in Appendix A.

For the system to evolve to the mean steady state densities, we balance the inflow and outflow rates of the four populations. Thus,

\[
Q_0 = Q_0; \quad Q_1 = Q_1; \quad Q_2 = Q_2; \quad Q_3 = Q_3.
\]

The justification for this is presented in Appendix B. All the parameter values can either be estimated from experimental data or deduced from these relationships. The mean
cell number in the steady state thymus is approximately $1 \times 10^8$ with 3% DN, 85% DP and 12% SP. The mean time a cell spends in the $N_0$ compartment is approximately 3 days, and in the $N_2$ compartment is 4 days, hence $1/(\lambda_0 + z_{0,1}) = 3$ and $1/(\lambda_2 + z_{2,3}) = 4$. The parameter values are summarised in Table 1.

3. Results

Our model does not consider DN1 explicitly. Its contribution is modelled in the source term for $N_0$ which represents the DN2 and DN3 cells. We consider a normally distributed source term for $N_0$ with $4\sigma = 7$ days to model the one week receptivity of the thymic seeding. Note that $8\sigma = T$, hence each seeding distribution is contained well within a period, and that overlaps are effectively zero. Fig. 3 shows that one wave of T cell development appears to cover approximately two seeding cycles, consistent with findings from experimental studies of Foss et al. (2001). Note the sequential appearance of $N_0$, $N_1$, $N_2$ and $N_3$. The DP population modelled by $N_2$ is the most numerically dominant.

Figs. 4(a) and (b) show the asymptotic temporal profiles of the populations over three seeding cycles, as the steady state thymus covers approximately three cycles. The profiles are periodic and out of phase. The cell numbers in $N_0$ range from $7 \times 10^3$ to $3.1 \times 10^6$, in $N_1$ range from $1.6 \times 10^6$ to $2.8 \times 10^6$, in $N_2$ range from $6.7 \times 10^7$ to $1.0 \times 10^8$ and in $N_3$ range from $1.1 \times 10^7$ to $1.3 \times 10^8$. Note the small range of $N_3$, hence the relatively constant profile. The mean removal of single positives is predicted to be $\kappa_3 \tilde{N}_3 \approx 1 \times 10^6$ cells per day, which compares well with standard measurements of T cell production from the thymus (Shortman et al., 1991). The composition of the thymus in terms of percentages is shown in Fig. 4(c) and (d). The total percentage variation of $N_0 + N_1$ is 2–6% which is within the experimentally observed range of percentages for DN cells (excluding the DN1 population). For $N_2$ the percentage variation is 81–87%, consistent with the observed percentages of DP cells. The $N_3$ variation is between 10% and 14%.

Cell number variations in steady state thymus composition between mice are generally attributed to intrinsic variations and are often not examined further. This work proposes an alternative explanation that differences may be due to thymi being out-of-phase rather than intrinsic variation alone. Direct experimental confirmation of this is problematic. The standard protocol for cell counting involves sacrificing the animal for thymic harvesting, followed by fluorescent labeling of thymic cell suspension. Cell type is then counted using flow cytometry (Alberts et al., 2002). Therefore, the terminal nature of the procedure precludes obtaining time series data.

Here we propose a mathematical approach to investigate the existence of periodic oscillations in the absence of time series data. Just as a straight line arising from two data sets plotted against one another is indicative of a linear

![Fig. 3.](image-url)
relationship, a closed plot of two out-of-phase cell populations with the same periodicity is indicative of periodic oscillations. After sampling the cell numbers from a large number of steady state thymi, the cell numbers of two populations can be plotted against each other to test this model, as illustrated in Fig. 5. We note that in order to validate periodic fluctuations in this way, the intrinsic variation between animal thymi must be significantly less than the variation due to being out-of-phase.

Fitting the model to experimental data can provide the parameter values \( \bar{s}, Q_0, Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 \), and \( Q_4 \). We assumed that the inflow and outflow rates of all populations are balanced giving the relationships in Eq. (33), so the actual number of parameter values is only five. The functions for fitting are

\[
N_0(t; \bar{s}, Q_0) \quad N_1(t; \bar{s}, Q_0, Q_1) \quad N_2(t; \bar{s}, Q_0, Q_1, Q_2) \quad N_3(t; \bar{s}, Q_0, Q_1, Q_2, Q_3).
\]

This may appear to be a large number of parameter values; however, four populations give six different combinations. The parameter values \( \bar{s}, Q_0, Q_1 \) can be obtained from all six combinations, \( Q_2 \) from five combinations and \( Q_3 \) from three combinations. The large number of combinations in estimating each parameter value restrict the degree of

Fig. 4. The asymptotic temporal profiles of the populations over three seeding cycles. The plots are in units of cell number per thymus and days. Parameter values are given in Table 1. (a) Plots of \( N_0, N_1, N_2, N_3 \), and the total cell population. (b) Plots of \( N_0, N_1 \) and \( N_3 \) only. (c) Plots of \( N_0, N_1, N_2, N_3 \) expressed as a percentage of the total population. (d) Percentage plots of \( N_0, N_1 \) and \( N_3 \) only.

Fig. 5. Phase plane of cell number. The plots are in dimensionless units. The arrow heads indicate the direction of time. Periodic behaviour produces closed loops when populations are plotted against each other. For example (a) \( N_1 \) versus \( N_3 \) and (b) \( N_2 \) versus \( N_3 \).
freedom and serve as a consistency check. The most useful parameter value to be obtained is \( \dot{\delta} \). It provides a measure of the discreteness of seeding or the relative receptivity time of the thymus to the seeding period. This is roughly quantified by experiments to be one week over four weeks. The other parameter values are measures of the scaled inflow and outflow rates of each population.

Here we outline how curve fitting can proceed after obtaining experimental data. The task is to fit two functions of the form \( x(t; p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n) \) and \( y(t; p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n) \) to a set of data \( \{x_i, y_i\} \) to extract the parameter values \( p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n \). It is not immediately obvious how residuals should be calculated as we are fitting a parametric curve \( \mathbf{r}(t) = (x(t), y(t)) \) to a set of data points that do not have time information. The missing time information corresponding to a point on the curve needs to be calculated. We show graphically how the residuals are calculated in Fig. 6.

For every data point \((x, y)\), marked by red dots on Fig. 6, we look for a corresponding point \((x(t'), y(t'))\) marked by black dots on the curve such that the line between the two is perpendicular to the tangent. The residual is measured by the length of this line. The tangent vector is simply \( \mathbf{r}'(t) \). Parameter values can then be extracted by using an optimisation technique to minimise the residuals. Statistical analysis of residuals can be used to suggest refinements to the current model.

### 3.1. Sensitivity to parameter values

We simulated the steady state thymus using parameter values that give mean values of unity for all populations. This is discussed in Appendix B. For ease of comparison, we consider the effect of changing one parameter value at a time and use the superscript ‘new’ while keeping the previously estimated parameter values fixed. Consider varying the seeding period so that \( T_{\text{new}} / T = a \) for \( a \neq 1 \). It is straightforward to see from our definitions in Eqs. (9)–(12) that the mean values of all populations will be affected by a factor of \( 1 / a \). If the number of cells differentiating to \( N_0 \) per seeding period is varied so that \( Q_{\text{new}} / Q = a \), then the mean values of all populations are affected by a factor of \( a \).

Varying the differentiation parameter values affects the mean population values in the following way. For example, consider \( a_{0,1}^{\text{new}} / a_{0,1} = a \) so that

\[
Q_0^{\text{new}} = T(a_{0,1}^{\text{new}} + \dot{\lambda}_0),
\]

and

\[
Q_1^{\text{new}} = \frac{T a_{0,1} \dot{N}_0}{N_1}.
\]

Recall that balancing the inflow and outflow rates of \( N_0 \) gives us the relation \( Q / \dot{N}_0 = T(\dot{\lambda}_0 + \dot{\lambda}_0) \). The mean value of \( N_0 \) is

\[
\langle G_0^{\text{new}} \rangle = \frac{Q_0}{Q_0^{\text{new}}} = \frac{Q}{N_0} \frac{1}{T(a_{0,1} + \dot{\lambda}_0)} = \frac{1}{a + c(1 - a)},
\]

where

\[
c = \frac{\dot{\lambda}_0}{a_{0,1}^{\text{new}} + \dot{\lambda}_0}.
\]

This is a monotonically decreasing function of \( a \), which is expected as the mean value of \( N_0 \) would decrease with increasing differentiation rate to \( N_1 \).

The subsequent populations \( N_1, N_2, N_3 \) are affected by a extra factor of \( a \) due to \( Q_1^{\text{new}} \), hence

\[
\langle G_1^{\text{new}} \rangle = \langle G_2^{\text{new}} \rangle = \langle G_3^{\text{new}} \rangle = \frac{a}{a + c(1 - a)}.
\]

This is a monotonically increasing function of \( a \). The mean values of these populations are expected to increase with increasing differentiation rate from \( N_0 \) to \( N_1 \).
Similar analysis applies to the other parameter values. These relationships allow adjustments to the estimated parameter values in light of more accurate measurements from experiments. One can also use the relationships to postulate the relevant parameter values responsible for perturbed mean densities of thymocyte populations, under pathological conditions for example.

4. Conclusion

The periodic seeding of progenitor cells to the steady state thymus raises questions of how the relatively constant number of cells in the thymus are maintained and how relatively constant number of T cells are produced. Using a simple model with linear kinetics, our results verify the synchronous wave development hypothesis of Petrie and co-workers (Porritt et al., 2003) would also allow constant output of T cells. Asynchronous release would operate independently of the DN1 population to let a constant number of cells differentiating to DN2 over time. This is equivalent to the DN1 population to let a constant number of cells differentiating to DN2 over time. This is equivalent to the asynchronous release hypothesis of Petrie and co-workers (Porritt et al., 2003) would also allow constant output of T cells. Asynchronous release would operate independently of the DN1 population to let a constant number of cells differentiating to DN2 over time. This is equivalent to considering that the source term of $N_0$ (in reference to Eq. (1)) is constant for all time, hence $S(t) = 1/T$ and all populations would be constant. We have shown that the production of T cells can appear relatively constant without assuming asynchronous release mechanisms.

Our results show periodic out-of-phase behaviour of the thymocyte populations. It may be surprising to find periodic oscillations in what is generally regarded as a steady state thymus. However, oscillations are common in the immune system (Stark et al., 2007). We propose experiments to sample the steady thymus which will construct a phase plane of thymocyte populations. Experimental data can then be used to support or refute the synchronous development hypothesis of T cells and the thymus.

Recently, Mehr (2006) has highlighted the importance of understanding the underlying mechanisms of feedback loops and nonlinearities in the immune system. In the current model we have assumed linear kinetics in T cell development. However, there is some suggestion that nonlinearities may exist at the DP stage, during positive selection. DP cells have a relatively short life span and outnumber stromal cells by approximately one hundred orders of magnitude (Gray et al., 2006). Therefore, it is reasonable to propose that nonlinearities can arise due to this competition for critical signals needed for survival and differentiation (Fink, 2003). Understanding the dynamics of positive selection will provide insight into this stringent step of development where only an estimated 3–5% of DPs are successful.

The co-ordinated mobilisation of progenitor cells from the bone marrow and the opening of niches in the thymus (Donskoy et al., 2003) strongly suggest thymus-bone marrow feedback mechanisms. Numerous components of this feedback mechanism are reviewed by Goldschneider (2006). Extensions to the current model of thymopoiesis could involve feedbacks and time delays. Analogies to this control mechanism might be found in models of haematopoiesis (Mackey and Glass, 1977), where bifurcation from periodic to chaotic solutions was shown. Hence, there may exist more complicated dynamics in the thymus than previously assumed.

The understanding of T cell developmental processes has widespread implications in many health-related situations. Further experimental investigations guided by theoretical approaches are warranted (Chakraborty et al., 2003; Witt et al., 2005b).
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Appendix A. The special case

The results of Eqs. (20)–(24) make use of the following differential equations and their solutions:

$$\frac{dF}{dt} + BF = \delta_+(t-n), \quad F(0) = 0,$$

$$F = H(t-n)e^{-B(t-n)},$$

and

$$\frac{df}{dt} + Af = H(t-n)e^{-B(t-n)}, \quad f(0) = 0,$$

$$f = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{B} e^{-A(t-n)} - e^{-B(t-n)}, & \text{if } A \neq B, \\ H(t-n)(t-n)e^{-A(t-n)}, & \text{if } A = B, \end{cases}$$

where $A$ and $B$ are constants.

For the special case where $Q_0 = Q_1$, $Q_0 = Q_2$, etc., the solutions to the system Eqs. (16)–(19) have a representative function

$$g(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H(t-n)(t-n) \exp(-q(t-n)),$$

$$g(t) = -\frac{d}{dq} f(t),$$
where \( f(t) \) is defined in Eq. (28). In the main text, we showed that \( f(t) \) is asymptotically periodic. Hence the asymptotic behaviour of \( g(t) \) is also periodic.

The general solution for \( A \neq B \) reduces to the special case solution for \( A = B \) if we take the limit \( A - B \to 0 \).

Consider

\[
s(t) = \frac{1}{B - A} (e^{-At} - e^{-Bt}) = \frac{1}{B - A} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-t)^{n}}{n!} (A^{n} - B^{n}) \quad (A.7)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{B - A} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-t)^{n}}{n!} (A - B) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} A^{j} B^{n-1-j} \quad (A.8)
\]

\[
\to t \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} A^{n-1} = te^{-At}. \quad (A.9)
\]

**Appendix B. The relationship between parameter values**

We define the mean steady state values as

\[
\langle G_{i} \rangle = \lim_{M \to \infty} \int_{M}^{M+1} G_{i} \, dt, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, 3. \quad (B.1)
\]

The system Eqs. (16)–(19) approaches an asymptotic periodic state, thus

\[
\int_{M}^{M+1} \frac{G_{i}}{dt} \, dt \to 0, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, 3. \quad (B.2)
\]

The system of Eqs. (16)–(19) becomes

\[
0 = Q_{0} - Q'_{0} \langle G_{0} \rangle, \quad (B.3)
\]

\[
0 = Q_{1} \langle G_{0} \rangle - Q'_{1} \langle G_{1} \rangle, \quad (B.4)
\]

\[
0 = Q_{2} \langle G_{1} \rangle - Q'_{2} \langle G_{2} \rangle, \quad (B.5)
\]

\[
0 = Q_{3} \langle G_{2} \rangle - Q'_{3} \langle G_{3} \rangle. \quad (B.6)
\]

The Eqs. (B.3)–(B.6) can be rewritten as

\[
\langle G_{0} \rangle = \frac{Q_{0}}{Q'_{0}}, \quad \langle G_{1} \rangle = \frac{Q_{1}}{Q'_{1}} \langle G_{0} \rangle, \quad (B.7)
\]

\[
\langle G_{2} \rangle = \frac{Q_{2}}{Q'_{2}} \langle G_{1} \rangle, \quad \langle G_{3} \rangle = \frac{Q_{3}}{Q'_{3}} \langle G_{2} \rangle. \quad (B.7)
\]

For the system to evolve to the mean steady state densities, we require

\[
\langle G_{i} \rangle = 1, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, 3. \quad (B.8)
\]

Hence, Eqs. (B.7) and (B.8) produce the balance of parameter values given in Eq. (33).
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